



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/160,424	09/25/1998	SCOT L. SCHNEEBELI	1215	6327

21396 7590 12/17/2001

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY
HARLEY R BALL
8140 WARD PARKWAY 5W
KANSAS CITY, MO 64114

EXAMINER

WILLETT, STEPHAN F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2152

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/160,424

Applicant(s)
Schneebeli et al.

Examiner
Stephan Willett

Group Art Unit
2152



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stephan Willett

(3) _____

(2) Judith Carlson

(4) _____

Date of Interview Dec 12, 2001

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No. If yes, brief description:

Proposed amendment.

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

Ferrel and Chang

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The representative described the distinguishing features of the application that overcome the cited art. The examiner reviewed the breadth of the proposed claim language as it read on the cited art. The examiner also shared the teachings of a preliminary search of the Akamai and Digital Island patents and public disclosures as they may relate to updating servers versus how content is generated at the staging server and how and what content is transferred to the production servers.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached


MARK H. RINEHART
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.